

Graded limits of minimal affinizations over a quantum loop algebra

直井克之 (Kavli IPMU)

日本数学会

2013年3月22日

Abstract

$U_q(\mathfrak{g})$: quantized env. alg. of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

$V_q(\lambda)$: f.d. irred. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V(\lambda)$: irred. \mathfrak{g} -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } V(\lambda) \leftarrow$ well-known

$U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$: quantum loop algebra ($L\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$)

V_q : f.d. irred. $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V$: $L\mathfrak{g}$ -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q = \text{ch } V$

◦ V : possibly reducible \Rightarrow not easy to study the str. of V

V_q : some special modules (e.g. Kirillov-Reshetikhin module)

\rightsquigarrow possible to study the str. of V [Chari, Moura].

Today

V_q : Minimal affinizations of type ABC .

Abstract

$U_q(\mathfrak{g})$: quantized env. alg. of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

$V_q(\lambda)$: f.d. irred. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V(\lambda)$: irred. \mathfrak{g} -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } V(\lambda) \leftarrow$ well-known

$U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$: quantum loop algebra ($L\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$)

V_q : f.d. irred. $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V$: $L\mathfrak{g}$ -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q = \text{ch } V$

◦ V : possibly reducible \Rightarrow not easy to study the str. of V

V_q : some special modules (e.g. Kirillov-Reshetikhin module)

\rightsquigarrow possible to study the str. of V [Chari, Moura].

Today

V_q : Minimal affinizations of type ABC .

Abstract

$U_q(\mathfrak{g})$: quantized env. alg. of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

$V_q(\lambda)$: f.d. irred. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V(\lambda)$: irred. \mathfrak{g} -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } V(\lambda) \leftarrow$ well-known

$U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$: quantum loop algebra ($L\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$)

V_q : f.d. irred. $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V$: $L\mathfrak{g}$ -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q = \text{ch } V$

◦ V : possibly reducible \Rightarrow not easy to study the str. of V

V_q : some special modules (e.g. Kirillov-Reshetikhin module)

\rightsquigarrow possible to study the str. of V [Chari, Moura].

Today

V_q : Minimal affinizations of type ABC .

Abstract

$U_q(\mathfrak{g})$: quantized env. alg. of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

$V_q(\lambda)$: f.d. irred. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V(\lambda)$: irred. \mathfrak{g} -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } V(\lambda) \leftarrow$ well-known

$U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$: quantum loop algebra ($L\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$)

V_q : f.d. irred. $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V$: $L\mathfrak{g}$ -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q = \text{ch } V$

◦ V : possibly reducible \Rightarrow not easy to study the str. of V

V_q : some special modules (e.g. Kirillov-Reshetikhin module)

\rightsquigarrow possible to study the str. of V [Chari, Moura].

Today

V_q : Minimal affinizations of type ABC .

Abstract

$U_q(\mathfrak{g})$: quantized env. alg. of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

$V_q(\lambda)$: f.d. irred. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V(\lambda)$: irred. \mathfrak{g} -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } V(\lambda) \leftarrow$ well-known

$U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$: quantum loop algebra ($L\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$)

V_q : f.d. irred. $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V$: $L\mathfrak{g}$ -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q = \text{ch } V$

◦ V : possibly reducible \Rightarrow not easy to study the str. of V

V_q : some special modules (e.g. Kirillov-Reshetikhin module)

\rightsquigarrow possible to study the str. of V [Chari, Moura].

Today

V_q : Minimal affinizations of type ABC .

$U_q(\mathfrak{g})$: quantized env. alg. of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

$V_q(\lambda)$: f.d. irred. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V(\lambda)$: irred. \mathfrak{g} -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } V(\lambda) \leftarrow$ well-known

$U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$: quantum loop algebra ($L\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$)

V_q : f.d. irred. $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V$: $L\mathfrak{g}$ -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q = \text{ch } V$

◦ V : possibly **reducible** \Rightarrow not easy to study the str. of V

V_q : some special modules (e.g. Kirillov-Reshetikhin module)

\rightsquigarrow possible to study the str. of V [Chari, Moura].

Today

V_q : Minimal affinizations of type ABC .

$U_q(\mathfrak{g})$: quantized env. alg. of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

$V_q(\lambda)$: f.d. irred. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V(\lambda)$: irred. \mathfrak{g} -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } V(\lambda) \leftarrow$ well-known

$U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$: quantum loop algebra ($L\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$)

V_q : f.d. irred. $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V$: $L\mathfrak{g}$ -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q = \text{ch } V$

◦ V : possibly reducible \Rightarrow not easy to study the str. of V

V_q : some special modules (e.g. Kirillov-Reshetikhin module)

\rightsquigarrow possible to study the str. of V [Chari, Moura].

Today

V_q : Minimal affinizations of type ABC .

$U_q(\mathfrak{g})$: quantized env. alg. of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

$V_q(\lambda)$: f.d. irred. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V(\lambda)$: irred. \mathfrak{g} -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } V(\lambda) \leftarrow$ well-known

$U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$: quantum loop algebra ($L\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$)

V_q : f.d. irred. $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} V$: $L\mathfrak{g}$ -module

◦ $\text{ch } V_q = \text{ch } V$

◦ V : possibly reducible \Rightarrow not easy to study the str. of V

V_q : some special modules (e.g. Kirillov-Reshetikhin module)

\rightsquigarrow possible to study the str. of V [Chari, Moura].

Today

V_q : Minimal affinizations of type ABC .

Minimal affinization

$V_q(\lambda)$: irred. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module (λ : dom. integral wt of \mathfrak{g}).

M : f.d. irred. $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ -module is an **affinization** of $V_q(\lambda)$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} M \cong V_q(\lambda) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu < \lambda} V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m(\mu)} \text{ as a } U_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-module.}$$

M : **minimal affinization** of $V_q(\lambda)$

- $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$
- $\circ M$: affinization of $V_q(\lambda)$,
 - \circ The part $\bigoplus_{\mu < \lambda} V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m(\mu)}$ is “**minimal**”.

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \bigoplus V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m(\mu)} \leq \bigoplus V_q(\mu)^{\oplus n(\mu)} \\ \iff \text{if } m(\mu) > n(\mu), \text{ then } \mu < \exists \nu \text{ s.t. } m(\nu) < n(\nu). \end{array} \right)$$

Minimal affinization

$V_q(\lambda)$: irred. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module (λ : dom. integral wt of \mathfrak{g}).

M : f.d. irred. $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ -module is an **affinization** of $V_q(\lambda)$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} M \cong V_q(\lambda) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu < \lambda} V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m(\mu)} \text{ as a } U_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-module.}$$

M : **minimal affinization** of $V_q(\lambda)$

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \circ M$: affinization of $V_q(\lambda)$,

\circ The part $\bigoplus_{\mu < \lambda} V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m(\mu)}$ is “**minimal**”.

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \bigoplus V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m(\mu)} \leq \bigoplus V_q(\mu)^{\oplus n(\mu)} \\ \iff \text{if } m(\mu) > n(\mu), \text{ then } \mu < \exists \nu \text{ s.t. } m(\nu) < n(\nu). \end{array} \right)$$

Minimal affinization

$V_q(\lambda)$: irred. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module (λ : dom. integral wt of \mathfrak{g}).

M : f.d. irred. $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ -module is an **affinization** of $V_q(\lambda)$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} M \cong V_q(\lambda) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu < \lambda} V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m(\mu)} \text{ as a } U_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-module.}$$

M : **minimal affinization** of $V_q(\lambda)$

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \circ M$: affinization of $V_q(\lambda)$,

\circ The part $\bigoplus_{\mu < \lambda} V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m(\mu)}$ is “**minimal**”.

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \bigoplus V_q(\mu)^{\oplus m(\mu)} \leq \bigoplus V_q(\mu)^{\oplus n(\mu)} \\ \iff \text{if } m(\mu) > n(\mu), \text{ then } \mu < \exists \nu \text{ s.t. } m(\nu) < n(\nu). \end{array} \right)$$

Ex. \mathfrak{g} : type A

$\exists \text{ev}_a : U_q(L\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ ($a \in \mathbb{C}(q)^*$): evaluation map,

\implies minimal affinization of $V_q(\lambda) = \text{ev}_a^* V_q(\lambda)$.

$$(\because \text{ev}_a^* V_q(\lambda) \cong V_q(\lambda) \oplus 0)$$

Ex. \mathfrak{g} : type A

$\exists \text{ev}_a : U_q(L\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ ($a \in \mathbb{C}(q)^*$): evaluation map,

\implies minimal affinization of $V_q(\lambda) = \text{ev}_a^* V_q(\lambda)$.

$$(\because \text{ev}_a^* V_q(\lambda) \cong V_q(\lambda) \oplus 0)$$

Ex. \mathfrak{g} : type A

$\exists \text{ev}_a : U_q(L\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ ($a \in \mathbb{C}(q)^*$): evaluation map,

\implies minimal affinization of $V_q(\lambda) = \text{ev}_a^* V_q(\lambda)$.

$$(\because \text{ev}_a^* V_q(\lambda) \cong V_q(\lambda) \oplus \mathbf{0})$$

Graded limit

Let $M_q(\lambda)$ be a minimal affinization of $V_q(\lambda)$.

$M_q(\lambda): U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} M(\lambda): L\mathfrak{g}$ -module

From $M(\lambda)$, define a $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module $M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$ as follows:

$M(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} M(\lambda): \mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module ($\mathfrak{g}[t] := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \subseteq L\mathfrak{g}$)

$\xrightarrow{\text{pull-back}} M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) := \tau_a^*(M(\lambda)): \mathbb{Z}$ -graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module

($\exists a \in \mathbb{C}; \tau_a(x \otimes t^k) = x \otimes (t + a)^k$) **graded limit**

- \mathfrak{g} : type $ABC \Rightarrow M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$: not depend on the choice of $M_q(\lambda)$.
- $\text{ch } M_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$,
- ($U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -multi.) $[M_q(\lambda) : V_q(\mu)] = [M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) : V(\mu)]$ (\mathfrak{g} -multi.).

Let $M_q(\lambda)$ be a minimal affinization of $V_q(\lambda)$.

$M_q(\lambda): U_q(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} M(\lambda): \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g}$ -module

From $M(\lambda)$, define a $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module $M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$ as follows:

$M(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} M(\lambda): \mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module ($\mathfrak{g}[t] := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \subseteq \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g}$)

$\xrightarrow{\text{pull-back}} M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) := \tau_a^*(M(\lambda)): \mathbb{Z}$ -graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module

$(\exists a \in \mathbb{C}; \tau_a(x \otimes t^k) = x \otimes (t + a)^k)$ **graded limit**

- \mathfrak{g} : type $ABC \Rightarrow M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$: not depend on the choice of $M_q(\lambda)$.
- $\text{ch } M_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$,
- $(U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -multi.) $[M_q(\lambda) : V_q(\mu)] = [M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) : V(\mu)]$ (\mathfrak{g} -multi.).

Let $M_q(\lambda)$ be a minimal affinization of $V_q(\lambda)$.

$M_q(\lambda): U_q(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} M(\lambda): \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g}$ -module

From $M(\lambda)$, define a $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module $M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$ as follows:

$M(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} M(\lambda): \mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module ($\mathfrak{g}[t] := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \subseteq \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g}$)

$\xrightarrow{\text{pull-back}} M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) := \tau_a^*(M(\lambda)): \mathbb{Z}$ -graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module

$(\exists a \in \mathbb{C}; \tau_a(x \otimes t^k) = x \otimes (t + a)^k)$ **graded limit**

- \mathfrak{g} : type $ABC \Rightarrow M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$: not depend on the choice of $M_q(\lambda)$.
- $\text{ch } M_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$,
- $(U_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-multi.}) [M_q(\lambda) : V_q(\mu)] = [M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) : V(\mu)]$ (\mathfrak{g} -multi.).

Graded limit

Let $M_q(\lambda)$ be a minimal affinization of $V_q(\lambda)$.

$$M_q(\lambda): U_q(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g})\text{-module} \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} M(\lambda): \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g}\text{-module}$$

From $M(\lambda)$, define a $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module $M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$ as follows:

$$M(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} M(\lambda): \mathfrak{g}[t]\text{-module} \quad (\mathfrak{g}[t] := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \subseteq \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{pull-back}} M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) := \tau_a^*(M(\lambda)): \mathbb{Z}\text{-graded } \mathfrak{g}[t]\text{-module}$$

$$(\exists a \in \mathbb{C}; \tau_a(x \otimes t^k) = x \otimes (t + a)^k) \quad \text{graded limit}$$

- \mathfrak{g} : type $ABC \Rightarrow M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$: not depend on the choice of $M_q(\lambda)$.
- $\text{ch } M_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$,
- ($U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -multi.) $[M_q(\lambda) : V_q(\mu)] = [M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) : V(\mu)]$ (\mathfrak{g} -multi.).

Main Theorem

$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}d$: affine Lie algebra $\supseteq \mathfrak{g}[t]$,

$\hat{V}(\Lambda)$: irred. integrable h.w. $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with h.w. Λ ,

For $w \in \hat{W}$, fix $0 \neq v_{w(\Lambda)} \in \hat{V}(\Lambda)_{w(\Lambda)}$: extremal weight vector

Assume \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n, B_n or C_n .

$M_q(\lambda)$: minimal affiniz. of $V_q(\lambda) \rightsquigarrow M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$: graded limit

Theorem

\exists sequences $\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^n$ and w_1, \dots, w_n s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) &\cong U(\mathfrak{g}[t])(v_{w_1(\Lambda^1)} \otimes v_{w_2(\Lambda^2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{w_n(\Lambda^n)}) \\ &\subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n). \end{aligned}$$

Main Theorem

$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}d$: affine Lie algebra $\supseteq \mathfrak{g}[t]$,

$\hat{V}(\Lambda)$: irred. integrable h.w. $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with h.w. Λ ,

For $w \in \hat{W}$, fix $0 \neq v_{w(\Lambda)} \in \hat{V}(\Lambda)_{w(\Lambda)}$: extremal weight vector

Assume \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n , B_n or C_n .

$M_q(\lambda)$: minimal affiniz. of $V_q(\lambda) \rightsquigarrow M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda)$: graded limit

Theorem

\exists sequences $\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^n$ and w_1, \dots, w_n s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) &\cong U(\mathfrak{g}[t])(v_{w_1(\Lambda^1)} \otimes v_{w_2(\Lambda^2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{w_n(\Lambda^n)}) \\ &\subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n). \end{aligned}$$

Ex. Type B_n

$$\lambda = \sum_i \lambda_i \varpi_i \Rightarrow \Lambda^i = \begin{cases} \lambda_i \Lambda_1 & (i < n : \text{odd}), \\ \lambda_i \Lambda_0 & (i < n : \text{even}), \\ \lfloor \lambda_n / 2 \rfloor \Lambda_{\bar{n}} + \bar{\lambda}_n \Lambda_n & (i = n), \end{cases}$$

$$(\bar{n}, \bar{\lambda}_n \in \{0, 1\}, n \equiv \bar{n}, \lambda \equiv \bar{\lambda}_n \pmod{2})$$

$$\tau_i := \begin{cases} s_1 s_2 \cdots s_i, & (i : \text{odd}), \\ s_0 s_2 \cdots s_i, & (i : \text{even}), \end{cases}$$

$$w_i := \tau_i \tau_{i+1} \cdots \tau_n$$

$$\circ M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}[t])(\nu_{w_1(\Lambda^1)} \otimes \nu_{w_2(\Lambda^2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu_{w_n(\Lambda^n)}) =: D(\lambda) \\ \subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n).$$

- Fact. $D(\lambda)$ has a character formula:

$$\text{ch } D(\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_{w_0\tau_1}(e(\Lambda^1) \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\tau_2}(e(\Lambda^2) \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\tau_n}(e(\Lambda^n)) \cdots)) \\ (\hat{W} \ni \tau_i \text{ s.t. } w_i = \tau_i\tau_{i+1} \cdots \tau_n, \mathcal{D}_\tau: \text{Demazure op.})$$

- Fact. $D(\lambda)$ has a crystal analog:

$$D(\lambda) \subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n) \xrightarrow{U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})} D_q(\lambda) \subseteq \hat{V}_q(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}_q(\Lambda^n) \\ \Downarrow \text{crystal basis} \Downarrow \\ \exists D \subseteq B(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes B(\Lambda^n)$$

$$\circ M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}[t])(v_{w_1(\Lambda^1)} \otimes v_{w_2(\Lambda^2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{w_n(\Lambda^n)}) =: D(\lambda) \\ \subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n).$$

- Fact. $D(\lambda)$ has a character formula:

$$\text{ch } D(\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_{w_0\tau_1}(e(\Lambda^1) \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\tau_2}(e(\Lambda^2) \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\tau_n}(e(\Lambda^n)) \cdots)) \\ (\hat{W} \ni \tau_i \text{ s.t. } w_i = \tau_i\tau_{i+1} \cdots \tau_n, \mathcal{D}_\tau: \text{Demazure op.})$$

- Fact. $D(\lambda)$ has a crystal analog:

$$D(\lambda) \subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n) \xrightarrow{U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})} D_q(\lambda) \subseteq \hat{V}_q(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}_q(\Lambda^n) \\ \Downarrow \text{crystal basis} \Downarrow \\ \exists D \subseteq B(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes B(\Lambda^n)$$

$$\circ M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}[t])(v_{w_1(\Lambda^1)} \otimes v_{w_2(\Lambda^2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{w_n(\Lambda^n)}) =: D(\lambda) \\ \subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n).$$

- Fact. $D(\lambda)$ has a character formula:

$$\text{ch } M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) = \text{ch } D(\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_{w_0\tau_1}(e(\Lambda^1) \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\tau_2}(e(\Lambda^2) \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\tau_n}(e(\Lambda^n)) \cdots)) \\ \left(\hat{W} \ni \tau_i \text{ s.t. } w_i = \tau_i \tau_{i+1} \cdots \tau_n, \mathcal{D}_\tau: \text{Demazure op.} \right)$$

- Fact. $D(\lambda)$ has a crystal analog:

$$D(\lambda) \subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n) \xrightarrow{U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})} D_q(\lambda) \subseteq \hat{V}_q(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}_q(\Lambda^n) \\ \Downarrow \text{crystal basis} \Downarrow \\ \exists D \subseteq B(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes B(\Lambda^n)$$

$$\circ M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}[t])(v_{w_1(\Lambda^1)} \otimes v_{w_2(\Lambda^2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{w_n(\Lambda^n)}) =: D(\lambda) \\ \subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n).$$

- Fact. $D(\lambda)$ has a character formula:

$$\text{ch } M_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } D(\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_{w_0\tau_1}(e(\Lambda^1) \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\tau_2}(e(\Lambda^2) \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\tau_n}(e(\Lambda^n)) \cdots)) \\ (\hat{W} \ni \tau_i \text{ s.t. } w_i = \tau_i\tau_{i+1} \cdots \tau_n, \mathcal{D}_\tau: \text{Demazure op.})$$

- Fact. $D(\lambda)$ has a crystal analog:

$$D(\lambda) \subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n) \xrightarrow{U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})} D_q(\lambda) \subseteq \hat{V}_q(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}_q(\Lambda^n) \\ \Downarrow \text{ crystal basis } \Downarrow \\ \exists D \subseteq B(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes B(\Lambda^n)$$

$$\circ M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}[t])(v_{w_1(\Lambda^1)} \otimes v_{w_2(\Lambda^2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{w_n(\Lambda^n)}) =: D(\lambda) \\ \subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n).$$

- Fact. $D(\lambda)$ has a character formula:

$$\text{ch } M_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } D(\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_{w_0\tau_1}(e(\Lambda^1) \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\tau_2}(e(\Lambda^2)) \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\tau_n}(e(\Lambda^n)) \cdots) \\ (\hat{W} \ni \tau_i \text{ s.t. } w_i = \tau_i\tau_{i+1} \cdots \tau_n, \mathcal{D}_\tau: \text{Demazure op.})$$

- Fact. $D(\lambda)$ has a crystal analog:

$$D(\lambda) \subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n) \xrightarrow{U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})} D_q(\lambda) \subseteq \hat{V}_q(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}_q(\Lambda^n) \\ \Downarrow \text{crystal basis} \Downarrow \\ \exists D \subseteq B(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes B(\Lambda^n)$$

$$[D(\lambda) : V(\mu)] = \#\{b \in D \mid \text{wt}(b) = \mu, \tilde{e}_i(b) = 0 \ (1 \leq i \leq n)\}.$$

$$\circ M^{\text{gr}}(\lambda) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}[t])(v_{w_1(\Lambda^1)} \otimes v_{w_2(\Lambda^2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{w_n(\Lambda^n)}) =: D(\lambda) \\ \subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n).$$

- Fact. $D(\lambda)$ has a character formula:

$$\text{ch } M_q(\lambda) = \text{ch } D(\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_{w_0\tau_1}(e(\Lambda^1) \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\tau_2}(e(\Lambda^2) \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\tau_n}(e(\Lambda^n)) \cdots)) \\ (\hat{W} \ni \tau_i \text{ s.t. } w_i = \tau_i \tau_{i+1} \cdots \tau_n, \mathcal{D}_\tau: \text{Demazure op.})$$

- Fact. $D(\lambda)$ has a crystal analog:

$$D(\lambda) \subseteq \hat{V}(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}(\Lambda^n) \xrightarrow{U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})} D_q(\lambda) \subseteq \hat{V}_q(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{V}_q(\Lambda^n) \\ \Downarrow \text{ crystal basis } \Downarrow \\ \exists D \subseteq B(\Lambda^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes B(\Lambda^n)$$

$$[M_q(\lambda) : V_q(\mu)] = \#\{b \in D \mid \text{wt}(b) = \mu, \tilde{e}_i(b) = 0 \ (1 \leq i \leq n)\}.$$