Estimation of Electric Fields from Magnetic Field Distributions and an Application to Helicon Wave
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General formulation of electric fields of the arbitrarily excited waves are derived from magnetic field distributions in a cylindrical cold plasma for experimental use. As an example of an application, explicit expressions of the electric fields, including wave energy density and energy flux of the helicon wave, are presented.
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A great variety of waves can be excited in a plasma and wave phenomena can be categorized in many ways—as electrostatic or electromagnetic waves; waves propagating parallel or perpendicular to a static magnetic field; wave frequency and wavelength compared with a characteristic frequency and scale length, respectively—and also by various boundary conditions (see also the Clemmow-Mullaly-Allis (CMA) diagram).1) Although wave magnetic fields $\mathbf{B}$ in relatively low-temperature plasmas can be easily and directly measured, using magnetic probes for instance, excited electric fields $\mathbf{E}$ with time-varying components (more than MHz frequency range), which contain inductive (electromagnetic) as well as space charge (electrostatic) terms, are much more difficult to measure experimentally.2) Since poor knowledge of the electric fields $\mathbf{E}$ results in a correspondingly poor understanding of wave natures, reliable methods to estimate the $\mathbf{E}$ fields are of crucial importance. If the magnetic and electric fields are known, wave characteristics such as excited wave structures, absolute values of wave energy and energy flux can be obtained.

In this letter, we propose a method of deriving general formulae of $\mathbf{E}$ (electromagnetic component) from known data of $\mathbf{B}$ in a cylindrical plasma. Since only the cold plasma dispersion relation and Maxwell’s equations are used in this calculation, the obtained result is a general one for arbitrary excited waves. As an example of one application, explicit expressions of $\mathbf{E}$ (from $\mathbf{B}$), wave energy density $W$ and wave energy flux $S$ are presented for the helicon wave case. The use of helicon modes to produce a high-density-plasma source has become very attractive in confinement devices as well as in plasma processing of materials, and detailed knowledge of the nature of waves from the viewpoint of the plasma production mechanism, including plasma initiation, is essential.

First, we will derive general formulae for the excited electric fields. Using international system (SI) mks units, a cold plasma dielectric tensor $\mathbf{K}$ can be represented by

$$
\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix}
K_\perp & -iK_x & 0 \\
K_x & K_\perp & 0 \\
0 & 0 & K_1
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where each element is defined as

$$
K_\perp = 1 - \sum_j \frac{\omega_{pj}^2}{\omega^2}, \quad K_\perp = 1 - \sum_j \frac{\omega_{pj}^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_{pj}^2},
$$

$$
K_x = \sum_j \frac{\omega_{pj}^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_{pj}^2}, \quad \omega_{pj} = \frac{m_j q_j}{\mu_0}, \quad \omega_{pj} = \frac{|q_j| B_0}{m_j}.
$$

In addition, the following notations are used: $\omega$, wave angular frequency; $\varepsilon_0$, dielectric constant in the vacuum; $m_j$, mass; $n_j$, number density; $q_j$, charge; $\varepsilon_j$, sign of the charge; $B_0$, static magnetic field along the $z$ direction.

Using time-varying electric and magnetic fields of $e^{-\text{int}_t}$ and Maxwell’s equation of $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 (\partial \mathbf{D}/\partial t)$ ($\mu_0$: permeability in the vacuum, $\mathbf{D}$: electric displacement) in the cylindrical $(r, \theta, z)$ coordinate, the electric fields are written in terms of the magnetic fields as

$$
E_r = \frac{i\varepsilon_0^2}{\omega (K_1^2 - K_\perp^2)} \left[ K_\perp \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial B_\theta}{\partial z} \right) + iK_x \left( \frac{\partial B_\theta}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial \theta} \right) \right],
$$

$$
E_\theta = \frac{-i\varepsilon_0^2}{\omega (K_1^2 - K_\perp^2)} \left[ iK_\perp \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial B_\theta}{\partial z} \right) - K_x \left( \frac{\partial B_\theta}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial \theta} \right) \right],
$$

$$
E_z = \frac{i\varepsilon_0^2}{\omega K_1} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_\theta) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_\theta}{\partial r} \right],
$$

where $c$ is a velocity of light. Even though the electric fields are strongly affected by the boundary conditions in some cases, eq. (3) is still valid, since the magnetic fields obtained inherently satisfy these conditions. When the electric fields are measured independently by some means, these estimated electric fields can be used for checking the reliability of the experimental data.

The wave energy density $W$ is defined in two ways$^{1, 9}$ as

$$
W = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \left[ \frac{B^* \cdot B}{2\mu_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} E^* \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} (\omega K_n) \cdot E \right],
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} E^* \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} (\omega^2 K_n) \cdot E \right],
$$

where the asterisk, Re and $K_n$ indicate a complex conjugate, real part and Hermitian part of the dielectric
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tensor, respectively.

The energy flux $S$, composed of the Poynting vector $P$ and the nonelectromagnetic energy flux $T$ due to coherent particle motions, is given by the following equations:

$$S = P + T,$$
$$P = \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \text{Re} (E^* \times B),$$
$$T = -\frac{\omega e_\varphi}{4} E^* \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial k} K_h \cdot E. \quad (5)$$

Therefore, the energy density $W$ and energy flux $S$ can be estimated generally by using magnetic fields data and electric fields derived from eq. (3).

Next we will apply this method to the helicon wave, in which $B$ and $E$ are proportional to $\omega(k_{||} z + m_o \varphi - \omega t)$ ($k_{||}$: parallel wave number, $m_o$: azimuthal mode number).\(^4\)

Assuming $\omega_{ci} << \omega << \omega_{ce}$ and $\omega \ll \omega_{pe}$ ($m_o << m_e$, composition of electrons and one species of ions) from eq. (3), the $E$ fields become

$$E_x = \omega_{ce} \left( \frac{c}{\omega_{pe}} \right)^2 \left( ik_{\parallel} B_{\parallel} - \frac{\partial B_{\perp}}{\partial r} \right),$$
$$E_y = \omega_{ce} \left( \frac{c}{\omega_{pe}} \right)^2 \left( ik_{\parallel} B_{\parallel} - \frac{im}{r} B_{\parallel} \right),$$
$$E_z = -\omega \left( \frac{c}{\omega_{pe}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{m}{r} B_{\parallel} + i \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_{\parallel}) \right). \quad (6)$$

From these equations, it can be seen that $E_x$ can be neglected when $\omega/2\pi$ is several MHz and $B_\parallel$ is larger than several $10^{-3}$ T since $E_x \sim E_y \sim (\omega_{ce}/\omega) E_z$, as long as no wave damping occurs. If there is a flat density profile in this (damping) case, the helicon wave fields are written as\(^5\)

$$B_r = C_1 J_{m_{-1}} + C_2 J_{m_{+1}},$$
$$B_\theta = i(C_1 J_{m_{-1}} - C_2 J_{m_{+1}}),$$
$$B_z = C_3 J_m, \quad (7)$$

and

$$E_x = (\omega/k_z) B_\theta,$$
$$E_y = -(\omega/k_z) B_r,$$
$$E_z = 0. \quad (8)$$

where $C_1$, $C_2$ and $C_3$ are as defined in ref. 4 and $J_m(k_{||} r)$ is a Bessel function ($k_{||}$: transverse wave number).

Under typical experimental conditions, however, the collision frequency $\nu$ becomes large ($\nu/\omega > 0.1$ and the observed $E_x$ is not neglected\(^5\)) when the electron density $n_e$ is more than about $10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$, the electron temperature $T_e$ is several eV and the wave frequency $\omega/2\pi$ is on the order of several MHz. Since collisional damping cannot be neglected in this case, $m_e$ in $\omega_{pe}$ and $\omega_{ce}$ in eq. (2) must be replaced by $(1 + \nu/m_e) m_e$ to give $k_{\parallel} + ik_{\perp}$ ($k_{\perp}$: damping wave number along the z axis). In addition, for the case when electron Landau damping becomes large, $\nu_{\lambda D}$ in $K_\lambda$ is needed to give $\nu_{\lambda D} + \nu$ where $\nu_{\lambda D} = \sqrt{2\pi/e}(\omega/k_{\parallel} e_{\varphi}) e^{\exp(-\omega^2/k_{\parallel}^2 e_{\varphi}^2)}$ and $e_{\varphi}$ is the electron thermal velocity.\(^4\) Note that for this damping case, $E_x$ and $E_y$ in eq. (6) remain the same, while $\omega$ must be replaced by $\omega + i(\nu + \nu_{\lambda D})$ in $E_z$.

For the helicon wave, the boundaries are highly important and may force the plasma to generate a relatively large electrostatic field, which causes some difficulty in deriving $E$ from $B$ fields because the $B$ fields become small. It is considered for the low wave damping case that the ratio of electrostatic to electromagnetic components is high ($\sim k_{\parallel}/k_z$) near the plasma surface, becomes nearly one at the plasma center and takes a very small value ($<< 1$) at the intermediate region, for $m = 1$ and $m = -1$ excitation. However, this ratio may be large (on the order of $k_{\parallel}/k_z$) over the whole plasma region for $m = 0$ excitation. According to our preliminary measurements ($k_{\parallel}/k_z = 3-7$), there does not seem to be a problem when deriving $E$ from the $B$ fields at the inner plasma region; e.g., the perpendicular electric field (23 cm axially away from the antenna edge) is several V/cm for $m = 1$ and less than one V/cm for $m = -1$ excitation. The experimentally obtained electrostatic component suggests small at the inner plasma region compared with that near the plasma surface.\(^3\) Of course, generally, the above derivation using $\nabla \times B = \mu_0 \partial D/\partial t$ cannot be applied without large error if the electrostatic condition of $| K_{||} | \ll | c/(\omega/k) |^2$ (for all $i_j$ component) is satisfied\(^3\) (note that typically $K_{||} \gg | c/(\omega/k) |^2$ for the helicon wave).

The $E$ fields for the case of no magnetic field are

$$E_x = \frac{ic^2}{\omega k_\parallel} \left[ \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial B_{\theta}}{\partial \vartheta} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial \varphi} \right],$$
$$E_y = \frac{ic^2}{\omega k_{||}} \left[ \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial B_{\theta}}{\partial \varphi} \right],$$
$$E_z = \frac{ic^2}{\omega k_{||}} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_{\parallel}) - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r} \right], \quad (9)$$

where $K_{||} = 1 - (\omega_{pe}/\omega)^2$ as $m_o << m_e$, and this becomes $-(\omega_{pe}/\omega)^2$ for a higher density plasma in which $\omega << \omega_{pe}$. This condition may correspond to inductively coupled plasma (ICP).\(^1\) The same equations may also be used for the dusty plasma\(^3\) ($\omega_{pd} << \omega_{pc}$, $\omega_{pd} << \omega_{pe}$ and $\omega_{pd} << \omega_{ci}$, where $d$ denotes the dust particles), if the magnetic field $B = 0$. For the extreme case that $n_e$ is low enough to give $\omega_{pe} << \omega_{pd}$, $K_{||}$ becomes $1 - (\omega_{pd}/\omega)^2$. Note that these $E$ fields express only a wave propagating component, not an evanescent one.

For the case of a weak static magnetic field ($\omega_{ce} \ll \omega$), the $E$ fields are derived from eq. (3) with $K_{||} = 1 - (\omega_{pe}/\omega)^2$ and $K_{||} = -(\omega_{pe}/\omega)^2(\omega_{ce}/\omega)$, for the strong field case ($\omega << \omega_{ci} \ll \omega_{ce}$), $K_{||} = 1 - (\omega_{pe}/\omega)^2$, $K_{||} = 1 - (\omega_{pe}/\omega_{ci})^2$ and $K_{||} = -(\omega_{pe}/\omega_{ci})^2(\omega_{ce}/\omega)$. The $\omega \times (\omega \varphi)$ and $\omega \varphi \omega$ components of $\partial (\omega_{K_{||}}) / \partial \omega$ without an approximation are $1 + 2 \omega_{pe}^2 (\omega_{ce}^2 - \omega_{ce})^2 / (\omega_{ce}^2 + \omega_{ce}^2)^2 + 2 \omega_{pe}^2 (\omega_{ce}^2 - \omega_{ce}^2) / (\omega_{ce}^2 + \omega_{ce}^2)^2 + 1 + 2 \omega_{pe}^2 / \omega_{ce}^2 + 2 \omega_{pe}^2 / \omega_{ce}^2$, respectively, and also, those of $\partial (\omega_{K_{||}}) / \partial \omega$ are $2 + 2 \omega_{pe}^2 (\omega_{ce}^2 - \omega_{ce}^2)^2 / (\omega_{ce}^2 + \omega_{ce}^2)^2 + 2 \omega_{pe}^2 (\omega_{ce}^2 - \omega_{ce}^2) / (\omega_{ce}^2 + \omega_{ce}^2)^2$ and $2$, respectively. From these components under the conditions of $\omega_{ce} \ll \omega \ll \omega_{ce}$ (helicon wave) and $m_o << m_e$, the energy density $W$ is given by
Substituting for eq. (6) into eq. (10), $W$ is explicitly determined from the magnetic field data. For the case of no magnetic field, $1 + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_{ce}^2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega^2}$ in the first equation of eq. (10) must be replaced by $1 + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega^2}$, and in the second equation, $1 + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_{ce}^2}$ must be replaced by $1$.

Now we can consider the energy flux $\mathbf{S}$ of the helicon wave. The nonelectromagnetic energy flux $\mathbf{T}$ is zero because $K_4$ is independent of $k$. Hence, each component of $\mathbf{S}$ ($= \mathbf{P}$) is given explicitly by the magnetic field, described by eqs. (5) and (6). Note that the energy flux estimation from magnetic fields is correct even though there exist electrostatic fields. As an example, the $z$ component of $\mathbf{S}$ (along the static magnetic field) is given by

$$S_z = \frac{-\omega_{ce}^2}{2\mu_0} \left( \frac{c}{\omega_{pe}} \right)^2 \Re \left( \frac{\partial B_z^*}{\partial r} B_r + \frac{im}{r} B_r B_z^* \right).$$

(11)

(For the case of no magnetic field, $\mathbf{T}$ is again zero and $\mathbf{S}$ ($= \mathbf{P}$) is determined from eqs. (5) and (9).) If we can use eqs. (7) and (8), $S_z$ for the helicon wave becomes

$$S_z = \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \left( \frac{\omega}{k_z} \right) \Re \left( B_r^* B_r + B_z^* B_z \right).$$

(12)

Preliminary experiments have shown the possibility of estimating the $S_z$ value at the plasma center by use of eq. (11), from the radial magnetic field distribution and the central electron density. Obtained values for both $m = 1$ and $m = -1$ excitation do not differ from the ones using eq. (12) (from the perpendicular component of the magnetic field at the plasma center) within a factor of 1.5.

For realistic analysis of the experimental data, eq. (6) can be very useful instead of eqs. (7) and (8) which have been used for approximate distributions so far. For example, deriving $S_x$ or $W$ along the $z$ axis from eqs. (10) and (11) gives us information about the energy flow and absolute wave damping, as discussed above. If the excited wave data contain many $m$ mode numbers and/or many $k_z$ values (this needs a complicated treatment of decomposing $m$ and $k_z$ spectra), eqs. (3)–(5) should be used instead.

General formulae of the excited electric fields, which are difficult to measure in most cases, have been estimated from magnetic field distributions in cylindrical cold plasma. To show an application of these formulae, explicit expressions of the electric fields $\mathbf{E}$ including the wave energy density $W$ and the energy flux $\mathbf{S}$ of the helicon wave have been presented.
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