Results Round 1

Each agent played 100 games with 4 instances of itself and 3 instances of a non-negotiating Baseline Agent. We counted the average number of Supply Centers conquered per agent instance per game. We say an agent 'passes Round 1' if it scores a statistically significant higher average than the Baseline agent.

Only CoalitionBot and Gunma were able to outperform the Baseline agent. However, the results of Gunma were not significant. Therefore, CoalitionBot is the only agent that managed to pass Round 1.

| | Total | Average Std. Error | | Result |
|----------------|-------|----------------------|-------|--------|
| CoalitionBot | 2211 | 5.528 | 0.123 | PASS |
| Baseline Agent | 1189 | 3.963 | 0.149 | |

Table 1: Coalition Bot defeated the Baseline Agent with a p-value of $1.9\cdot 10^{-8}.$

| | Total | Average | Std. Error | Result |
|----------------|-------|---------|------------|--------|
| Gunma | 1980 | 4.95 | 0.195 | fail |
| Baseline Agent | 1420 | 4.733 | 0.205 | |

Table 2: Gunma defeated the Baseline Agent, but with a p-value of 0.47, so this is not significant.

| | Total | Average | Std. Error | Result |
|----------------|-------|---------|------------|--------|
| Baseline Agent | 1479 | 4.93 | 0.209 | |
| M@sterMind | 1921 | 4.803 | 0.175 | fail |

| | Total | ${f otal} \mid {f Average} \mid {f Std.}$ Error | | Result |
|----------------|-------|---|-------|--------|
| Baseline Agent | 1632 | 5.44 | 0.242 | |
| GamlBot | 1768 | 4.42 | 0.174 | fail |

Results Round 2

In round 2 we played 100 games with 1 instance of each agent together with 3 instances of the Baseline Agent. We see that when negotiating with other agents the CoalitionBot is not able to significantly outperform the other agents.

| | Total | Average | Std. Error |
|----------------|-------|---------|------------|
| Gunma | 569 | 5.69 | 0.3 |
| GamlBot | 531 | 5.31 | 0.334 |
| CoalitionBot | 494 | 4.94 | 0.289 |
| Baseline Agent | 1362 | 4.54 | 0.168 |
| M@sterMind | 444 | 4.44 | 0.29 |

Conclusions

In order to win the challenge an agent had to pass round 1, as well as beat the other agents in round 2. None of the agents managed to do this, so the Challenge ends with no winner.

Although CoalitionBot was able to outperform the non-negotiating agent, it only performs well when negotiating with other instances of itself. When it comes to negotiating with other agents, the best agent seems to be Gunma, but only by a small difference.

Roughly speaking we can interpret this as CoalitionBot being the best at *making* proposals, while Gunma being the best at evaluating and *accepting* incoming proposals.

Finally, it is worth noting there was a 5th submission, called DDAgent2, but this agent was too slow to participate. Most of the time it was not able to submit its orders before the deadline making it pointless to even complete a single game.